

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/716,615 | 11/20/2003 | Tsuyoshi Ebuchi | 60188-713 | 6358 |
| 7590 01/26/2006 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Jack Q. Lever, Jr. McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY 600 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096 | | | CHO, JAMES HYONCHOL | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2819 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006 | ς. |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Application No. EBUCHI ET AL. 10/716,615 Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit James Cho 2819 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) James Cho. (3)Mr. Tetsuji Fujiwara. (4)____. (2) Mr. Tom Tanida. Date of Interview: 1-19-2006. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) □ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1,10 and 13. Identification of prior art discussed: Pradhan (US PAT No. 6,781,456). Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. h ASubstance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the rejection of claims 1 and 10 regarding Pradhan lacking the processing unit for processing the received signal as well as objections to claim 13 regarding power-down feature in the drawings and further consideration will be given when amendment is filed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

James a Clis